BROKEN BONES, BROKEN LIVES:
A roadmap to solve the fragility fracture crisis in France
With fragility fractures affecting one in three women and one in five men aged 50 or above, nearly everyone has a family member or friend who has been affected by a fragility fracture. Yet how many of us stop to question the true cause of fragility fractures and simply assume them to be a 'normal' sign of aging rather than the result of weakened bone? How many of us understand that an initial fracture may be a gateway to further fractures and should be treated as a warning sign and prompt us to seek out preventative treatment?

As France’s population ages as a result of increasing life expectancy, the incidence and contribution of fragility fractures to the overall healthcare spend continue to increase. In 2017, 380,000 fractures occurred in France with an associated healthcare cost of €5.4 billion. This annual expenditure is predicted to increase by more than one-quarter (26%), to €6.8 billion, by 2030.

Beyond the immediate distress, healing time, and one in five men aged 50 or above, nearly half of all rheumatologists in France. As a scientific society, SFR’s primary objective is the promotion of scientific progress in the area of musculoskeletal diseases, as well as to facilitate French rheumatologists’ and other physicians’ access to information and training. It does so through various fora, such as the French Congress of Rheumatology, National Rheumatology Day and the Journal of Rheumatology. SFR has a strong record of providing information towards national and international agencies, and is an advocate for patients’ rights and disease awareness among the general public.

The Research and Information Group on Osteoporosis (GRID) was created over 30 years ago by healthcare professionals as a multidisciplinary and uniform approach to tackle all forms of osteoporosis and now forms the rheumatology section of the SFR. GRID has more than 1,500 members with various specializations and engaged in different areas of research. GRID’s work is built around two pillars: 1) the ‘fracture cascade’ and the benefits of innovative multidisciplinary care pathways; 2) the development of a fragility fracture registry, potentially starting with pilots in one or two regions.

The International Osteoporosis Foundation (IOF) is a registered not-for-profit, non-governmental foundation based in Switzerland that has been granted Roster Consultative Status with the Economic and Social Council of the United Nations. IOF functions as a global alliance of patient societies, research organizations, healthcare professionals, and international companies working to prevent osteoporosis and fragility fractures worldwide. Striving for a world without fragility fractures, IOF functions as a global alliance of patient societies, research organizations, healthcare professionals, and international companies working to prevent osteoporosis and fragility fractures worldwide. Striving for a world without fragility fractures, IOF is dedicated to advancing research and education, promoting policy change, and other physicians’ access to information and training. It does so through various fora, such as the French Congress of Rheumatology, National Rheumatology Day and the Journal of Rheumatology. SFR has a strong record of providing information towards national and international agencies, and is an advocate for patients’ rights and disease awareness among the general public.

The development of this report has been supported by UCB. Full publication of the data included in this report is currently in development.

This report, Broken bones, broken lives: A roadmap to solve the fragility fracture crisis in France, explores the clinical, societal, and cost burdens associated with fragility fractures in France. The findings provide evidence that, despite the availability of effective preventative therapies and management approaches for fragility fractures, only 15% of women aged 50 or above receive osteoporosis treatment after an initial fragility fracture and only 10% have an osteodensitometry assessment.

Secondary prevention of fragility fractures has been neglected for too long. There is an urgent need to recognize fragility fractures as a public health priority and to establish secondary fracture prevention and management as an integral component of healthy aging.

In addition to providing the latest state of play of fragility fracture care, the report serves as a roadmap, which includes policy recommendations that can assist policymakers in offering the best possible care for French citizens in order to reduce the number of fractures and their impact on patients and the French healthcare system.

Cyrus Cooper, IOF President
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This report provides an overview of the burden and management of fragility fractures in France and compares the national reality to that of the EU6 nations (France, Germany, Italy, Spain, Sweden, and the UK). The report not only aims to highlight the burden and challenges posed by fragility fractures, but also to signpost opportunities for increased efficiencies in fragility fracture management and to realize improvements in patient care.

As France's population ages, the challenge of preserving the independence and active lifestyles of the aging population has become a multifaceted challenge that technology, social initiatives, and healthcare policy can help tackle. With approximately 380,000 new broken bones occurring in France in 2017, fragility fractures are a major obstacle to healthy aging, impacting the independence and quality of life of 3.8 million women and men living with osteoporosis in France. Fracture Liaison Service (FLS) and coordinated care models for post-fracture patients are key to improving outcomes and reducing the burden on healthcare and individuals at a reasonable level of investment.

Policies have a significant role to play in promoting, funding, and implementing care solutions, such as coordinated care models for patients following a fracture (known as ‘Filières Fractures’ in France). The most common coordinated care model for post-fracture patients is a ‘Fracture Liaison Service’, or FLS. The FLS model has been proven to be both clinically effective and cost-effective: reducing further fractures, and lessening the burden on both healthcare and individuals at a reasonable level of investment.

With life expectancy continuing to increase, fragility fracture incidence in France is predicted to increase by almost 24.4% by 2030; now is the time to break the cost spiral, and take action to put an end to the dire consequences of fractures on patients. Policies have a significant role to play in promoting, funding, and implementing care solutions, such as coordinated care models for patients following a fracture (known as ‘Filières Fractures’ in France). The most common coordinated care model for post-fracture patients is a ‘Fracture Liaison Service’, or FLS. The FLS model has been proven to be both clinically effective and cost-effective: reducing further fractures, and lessening the burden on both healthcare and individuals at a reasonable level of investment.

With life expectancy continuing to increase, fragility fracture incidence in France is predicted to increase by almost 24.4% by 2030; now is the time to break the cost spiral, and take action to put an end to the dire consequences of fractures on patients. Policies have a significant role to play in promoting, funding, and implementing care solutions, such as coordinated care models for patients following a fracture (known as ‘Filières Fractures’ in France). The most common coordinated care model for post-fracture patients is a ‘Fracture Liaison Service’, or FLS. The FLS model has been proven to be both clinically effective and cost-effective: reducing further fractures, and lessening the burden on both healthcare and individuals at a reasonable level of investment.

While coordinated care models appear as a universal solution to improve patients’ diagnosis, treatment, and follow-up, local policy solutions adapted to the specificities of healthcare systems and policies – within and across countries – should also be considered. In recognition of the growing fragility fracture burden, the French national roadmap reiterates the seven proposals of the 2017 Estates General for osteoporosis to improve the care of the many patients who have undergone at least one fragility fracture, e.g. developing and implementing secondary prevention strategies, introducing incentives to target osteoporosis in primary care, and creation of a fragility fracture registry.
DID YOU KNOW THAT...

• Osteoporosis (which means ‘porous bone’) is a disease that weakens the density and quality of the bone, thus increasing the risk of fracture. The loss of bone is symptomatically silent and progressive, until the first fragility fracture occurs due to a low-trauma event, such as a fall from standing height or even a minor bump.

• One in five men and one in three women aged 50 and above will experience a fragility fracture in their remaining lifetime.

• A fragility fracture is a warning sign that has to be taken seriously: a fracture increases the risk of a subsequent fracture, which can occur at a different site.

• It is not only important to treat the existing fragility fracture but also to prevent subsequent breaks, i.e. secondary fracture prevention.

• “By missing the opportunity to respond to the first fracture, healthcare systems around the world are failing to prevent the second and subsequent fractures” (Professor Kristina Åkesson).

I continue to suffer and I am always leaning forward. I cannot straighten myself anymore.

Monique, France

THE SILENT BURDEN OF FRAGILITY FRACTURES FOR INDIVIDUALS AND HEALTHCARE SYSTEMS

Something else that affects my everyday life is fatigue. Pain results in incredible fatigue, which I think is difficult for others to be able to understand.

Anita, Sweden

Fragility fractures affect men and women across France

Prevalence of osteoporosis in France

Approximately...

3 million

0.8 million

...3.8 million people in France have osteoporosis (assessed 2015).

Prevalence of osteoporosis in France (22.7% for women; 6.9% for men) over the age of 50 years is comparable to that of Germany, Italy, Spain, Sweden, and the UK, which together with France are hereafter referred to as the EU6 nations.

I am always leaning forward.

Monique, France
Lifetime risk of fragility fractures

At the age of 50 years, the remaining lifetime risk for a major osteoporotic fracture (MOF) is relatively high, yet lower for French citizens than for the collective EU6 population.7

The lifetime risk of sustaining a fragility fracture varies for women and men and by fracture site.

There is a marked difference in the risk of fracture between the EU6 countries, with Northern European countries having the highest fracture rates observed worldwide.

The reasons for the difference in fracture risk between countries are unknown and cannot be explained by differences in bone density. However, plausible factors include differences in body mass index, low calcium intake, reduced sunlight exposure and, perhaps the most crucial factor, socio-economic prosperity, which in turn may be related to low levels of physical activity.12,13

Regardless of differences in fracture risk, the number of fractures in all countries is expected to increase due to an increasingly elderly population.

Lifetime risk of fragility fracture from the age of 50 years, in France2,7,12,14–20

Fragility fracture incidence

An estimated 380,000 fragility fractures occurred in France in 2017.6

Estimated number of fragility fractures in France and the EU6 in 2017, by fracture category
Fragility fractures incur substantial healthcare costs

Fragility fractures are associated with significant healthcare costs

In 2017, fracture-related costs totaled approximately €5.4 billion in France, even though France has one of the lowest proportional healthcare spends on fracture of any of the EU6 nations.6 Hospital admission and length of stay in secondary care following a fracture are important drivers of fracture-related costs.

Fragility fractures place a high burden on patients and healthcare systems

The burden of fragility fractures on individuals is demonstrated here with the annual loss of quality-adjusted life years (QALYs).

QALYs are a measure of the state of health of a person or group in which the benefits, in terms of length of life, are adjusted to reflect the quality of life. One QALY is equal to 1 year of life in perfect health. QALYs are calculated by estimating the years of life remaining for a patient following a particular treatment or intervention and weighting each year with a quality-of-life score (on a 0 to 1 scale). It is often measured in terms of the patient’s ability to carry out the activities of daily life, and freedom from pain and mental disturbance.23

The loss of QALYs as a result of fragility fractures varies across the EU6 countries. These differences are largely driven by variations in the risk of fractures and age distribution between countries.6

The total health burden in 2017 due to fragility fractures in France is estimated to be 137,345 QALYs; 67% of which is attributable to fractures occurring among women.

Estimated annual fracture-related costs in France in 2017

Fracture-related costs:21,22

- happen during the first year following a fracture
- differ between fracture sites and, to some extent, reflect the severity of fracture
- are highest with hip fractures, as this is the most severe fracture site

Fracture-related costs in 2017:

- Vertebral: €1.00 billion
- Other: €2.00 billion
- Hip: €3.00 billion
- MOF: €5.00 billion
- Total: €6.00 billion

Total annual loss of QALYs across the EU6 nations in 2017

Total QALYs: 137,345

- France: 10,000 QALYs
- Italy: 100,000 QALYs
- Germany: 300,000 QALYs
- Spain: 50,000 QALYs
- Sweden: 25,000 QALYs
- UK: 150,000 QALYs

The loss of QALYs as a result of fragility fractures varies across the EU6 countries. These differences are largely driven by variations in the risk of fractures and age distribution between countries.6
Fragility fractures have a multifaceted impact on the individual and society

Reduced independence and lifestyle impairment

Reduced independence can be one of the most distressing outcomes for fracture patients. The disability associated with hip fractures can be severe. One year after hip fracture, 40% of patients are still unable to walk independently, and 80% are restricted in other activities, such as driving and grocery shopping.24

A fracture not only affects people physically, but also emotionally. Knowledge of their increased fracture risk can negatively affect patients' outlook, causing them to change their levels of social interaction and to avoid certain activities: impairing their overall quality of life.25

The long-term loss of independence and mobility can put physical, emotional, and financial strain on patients, as well as their relatives and friends, potentially leading to the need for institutional care, particularly in older age groups.26

Across Europe, the proportion of patients that move into long-term care (LTC) within a year of sustaining a hip fracture increases with age, from 2.1% at age 50–60 years to 35.3% above 90 years.6 Although France appears to have a lower rate of rapid transitions to LTC following a hip fracture than some other European countries,25 the potential impact of an initial fragility fracture should not be underestimated: in France, in the year immediately following hospitalization for an initial fracture, there is a 12.3% re-hospitalization and a 23.5% mortality rate.27

The silent burden of fragility fractures for individuals and healthcare systems

Fragility fractures can significantly impact the working population

Although fragility fractures mostly affect people in later life, an estimated 20% of fractures occur at pre-retirement age.2 In 2017, a total of 1,461,444 sick days were taken in France among individuals of pre-retirement age affected by fragility fractures.28

An average number of 14 sick days are taken per 1,000 people following a fragility fracture in France; one of the lowest estimates for any EU6 nation.6

Average sick days taken after fragility fracture per 1,000 people, by EU6 country

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Average Sick Days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Proportion of patients (%) in LTC at 12 months after a hip fracture, by country6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>LTC at 12 months (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICUROS Europe*</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*International Costs and Utilities Related to Osteoporotic Fractures Study (ICUROS) Europe: Austria, Estonia, Spain, France, Italy, and Sweden
Patients suffering fragility fractures depend on care from family and friends

As a result of reduced mobility and ability to complete activities of daily living, individuals who have suffered a fragility fracture may rely on informal caregivers, such as family members or friends.

During the first year after a fracture, the hours of care provided by relatives vary greatly by fracture type and country.* The more serious the fracture, the more support is needed.

In countries where cross-generational support is more established, the impact of fragility fractures on caregivers is generally higher. France has the lowest caregiver burden of all the EU6 nations, with an average of 138 hours a year, per 1,000 individuals, spent caring for patients with osteoporotic hip fractures.32

Patients suffering fragility fractures depend on care from family and friends

As a result of reduced mobility and ability to complete activities of daily living, individuals who have suffered a fragility fracture may rely on informal caregivers, such as family members or friends.

During the first year after a fracture, the hours of care provided by relatives vary greatly by fracture type and country.* The more serious the fracture, the more support is needed.

In countries where cross-generational support is more established, the impact of fragility fractures on caregivers is generally higher. France has the lowest caregiver burden of all the EU6 nations, with an average of 138 hours a year, per 1,000 individuals, spent caring for patients with osteoporotic hip fractures.32

Relative care hours related to hip fractures per 1,000 people, by country

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Hours of Care (per 1,000 individuals)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>900</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*To measure the average burden placed on informal caregivers per year, survey responses from ICUROS29–31 were also used to determine the caregiver burden due to osteoporotic fracture. It was measured in terms of hours of care per year provided by relatives in ICUROS Europe (a substitute measure for the EU6), as well as selected countries.
Fragility fractures represent a health risk for individuals aged 50 or above. In France, the lifetime risk of suffering a MOF at age 50 years (22% for women; 13% for men) is similar to the lifetime risk of a stroke for women (20%) and men (14%) across Europe.33,34 Overall, the remaining lifetime risk of sustaining a fracture in France is lower than for the other EU6 nations.

The fragility fracture burden in the EU6 is greater than that of many other chronic diseases (including COPD). It is surpassed only by ischemic heart disease, dementia, and lung cancer.35

FRAGILITY FRACTURES IN THE CONTEXT OF PUBLIC HEALTH PRIORITIES

I can no longer run to catch a bus. I no longer feel young.

Maryvonne, France

Lifetime risk of fragility fracture from the age of 50 years in France and the equivalent risk for stroke in Europe12,14–20

Fragility fractures are the fourth leading cause of chronic disease morbidity, rising from a ranking of sixth in 2009. Across the EU6, fragility fractures now account for 2.6 million DALYs (a measure of the impact of a disease or injury in terms of healthy years lost23) annually, more than for hypertensive heart disease or rheumatoid arthritis.7

In France, an estimated 17 DALYs are lost per 1,000 individuals aged over 50 years due to fragility fractures. The French burden is slightly lower than the average for the EU6 (21 DALYs per 1,000 people) and higher than the national burden associated with other major chronic diseases of aging (ischemic stroke and COPD).35

DALYs per 1,000 people (aged over 50 years) by disease in France and the EU635

The remaining lifetime risk of sustaining a fracture in France is lower than for the other EU6 nations.
FRAGILITY FRACTURES ARE A GROWING CHALLENGE IN THE PUBLIC HEALTH LANDSCAPE

My daily life has changed completely. I now walk with two canes. I can’t bend down and I’m constantly in pain. I cannot carry things and therefore cannot go shopping. I miss my active life, very, very much.

Inger, Sweden

An ever-growing public health challenge is emerging: approximately 380,000 fragility fractures occurred in France in 2017, and the annual incidence is estimated to increase to 470,000 by 2030. This projected increase in fracture incidence in France (24.4%) is slightly higher than the EU6 average of 23.3% over the same period.

Fracture-related costs are set to rise

With life expectancy in France increasing, so too is the fragility fracture incidence and related use of healthcare services. Hospitalizations for fragility fractures, one of the key drivers of healthcare costs, increased by 10% between 2011 and 2013. With fragility fracture incidence predicted to increase by a further 24.4% between 2017 and 2030, the associated care costs are projected to increase by 26.4% over the same period, comparable to the overall rate for the EU6 of 27.7%.

Estimated annual fracture-related costs in 2017 and 2030, and percentage change for France

Although hip fractures make up 1/5 of total fractures, they are estimated to incur an estimated 57% of total fracture-related costs.
Fracture-related patient burden is set to increase

Based on population projections, the QALY losses associated with fragility fractures will increase between 2017 and 2030, with France facing an increase of 26.4% over the period; slightly higher than the EU6 average of 25.6%.6

Relative risk of all subsequent fractures calculated as a mean from the first fracture (grey line) and per separate year of follow-up (orange line)

Follow-up (years)

0 2 4 6 8 10 12 14 16 18 20

Relative risk

0 1 2 3 4 5 6

Adapted from van Geel et al.37

One fragility fracture leads to another

For women aged 50 to 80, after their first fragility fracture, their risk of a subsequent fracture within the first year after a fracture is five times greater than women who have not had a prior fracture.27

Subsequent fracture risk is highest in the first 2 years following an initial fracture, when there is an imminent risk of another fracture at the same, or other, sites.38 This is why it is critically important to identify patients as soon as possible after fracture to optimize fracture prevention treatments and keep the patient from having another fracture.

Similar patterns of imminent fracture risk have been observed in most countries evaluated,21,22 but between-country comparisons are limited by data availability.

If the fracture I suffered in my spine had been spotted earlier than it was, I would have been spared a great deal of pain and suffering.

Christine, UK
Most eligible patients do not receive treatment to prevent fragility fractures following their first fracture

With appropriate medical treatment, many fragility fractures can be avoided.

Guidance from the GRIO recommends that patients of all ages in France should be treated with specific anti-osteoporosis treatment after a severe osteoporotic fracture - unfortunately this is not always the case. In France, no more than 15% of women aged 50 and above who have sustained a fragility fracture receive treatment for fracture prevention and only 10% receive osteodensitometry assessment.

With appropriate medical treatment, many fragility fractures can be avoided. Effective management can improve outcomes and reduce costs

Multidisciplinary models for secondary fracture prevention can contribute to closing the treatment gap

Post-fracture coordinated care models, such as a Fracture Liaison Service (FLS) internationally or Filière Fracture in France, are multidisciplinary healthcare delivery models for secondary fracture prevention. Systematically, they aim to identify, diagnose, and treat (by referral) all eligible patients within a local population who have suffered a fragility fracture, with the intention of reducing risk of subsequent fractures. In the FLS model, care is usually coordinated by a dedicated, specialist nurse who helps patients navigate the way through the various departments of relevance (e.g. orthopedic surgery, radiology, and primary care).

A recently published systematic literature review and meta-analysis based on 159 scientific publications highlighted the benefits of FLSs:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome measure</th>
<th>Effect of FLS (absolute change)</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>Duration of follow-up (months)</th>
<th>Number of studies included</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BMD testing</td>
<td>+24%</td>
<td>0.18 to 0.29</td>
<td>3–26</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment initiation</td>
<td>+20%</td>
<td>0.16 to 0.25</td>
<td>3–72</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment adherence</td>
<td>+22%</td>
<td>0.13 to 0.31</td>
<td>3–48</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Re-fracture rate</td>
<td>−5%</td>
<td>−0.08 to −0.03</td>
<td>6–72</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortality</td>
<td>−3%</td>
<td>−0.05 to −0.01</td>
<td>6–72</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMD, Bone Mineral Density

Despite their potential benefits, the IOF estimates there to be only 15 FLSs currently operating in France. Furthermore, the FLSs available are likely to vary within France and to differ with those available in other EU6 countries. FLSs vary in the services they offer, from identifying and informing patients without taking further action to more comprehensive models that include investigating, treating, and monitoring patients. This variation in structure affects the level of impact on health outcomes.
A meta-analysis demonstrated that adoption of the 3 "I" model, with core priorities of Identify, Investigate and Intervene, offered greater effectiveness in patient assessment and treatment than 0–2 "I" models.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3 &quot;I&quot; model</th>
<th>2 &quot;I&quot; model</th>
<th>1 &quot;I&quot; model</th>
<th>0 &quot;I&quot; model</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Identify, Investigate, Intervene</td>
<td>Identify, Investigate</td>
<td>Identify</td>
<td>No studies on BMD testing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

79% receive BMD testing 46% receive osteoporosis treatment 60% receive BMD testing 41% receive osteoporosis treatment 43% receive BMD testing 23% receive osteoporosis treatment No studies on BMD testing 8% receive osteoporosis treatment

Adapted from Ganda et al.49

The analyses by both Ganda et al. and Wu et al. showed dramatic increases in BMD testing and osteoporosis treatment initiation, which further supports the value of post-fracture care coordination to prevent fragility fractures and reduce the overall cost of care for these patients.47,49

Capture The Fracture® (CTF®): A global initiative of IOF

CTF® aims to facilitate the implementation of coordinated, multidisciplinary models of care for secondary fracture prevention. CTF® has created a set of internationally endorsed standards and guides for best practice to bridge the gap between FLS providers and to help in the development and implementation of new FLSs. CTF® includes the largest network of individual FLS providers in the world. Providers undergo a CTF® audit to determine service quality, with a gold, silver, or bronze star awarded.

There are huge variations between and within countries in terms of the availability of coordinated care models. A CTF® survey reported that such models only existed for 2.8% of responders in Italy and up to 37.5% of responders in Sweden for hospital referrals, reducing to 1–10% for general practitioner (GP) referrals. In contrast, in the UK, the National Osteoporosis Society estimated that 55% of the UK population has access to an FLS.

FLSs are a cost-effective option for patient management

Several studies have showed FLSs to be a cost-effective healthcare delivery form in European countries. Although not specifically evaluated for France, in Sweden and the UK the cost of improving patient outcomes through an FLS has been estimated to be.5051

Based on a survey sent to a number of FLSs in the EU6 enrolled in IOF’s CTF® network, it is estimated that 10–25% of French hospitals and 1–10% of GPs report having a referral system for fracture patients. This is significantly less than seen in the UK, where the National Osteoporosis Society estimates that 55% of the UK population has access to an FLS.

A recent health economic analysis suggested that the introduction of FLSs for all individuals aged over 50 years could prevent an estimated 2,665 subsequent fragility fractures in France every year. Extension of FLSs in this way would result in a net increase of costs (€20 million), but also a gain of 1,036 QALYs.6

The WHO52 provides guidance on how an intervention with a benefit expressed in QALY value equivalent to 1 year's gross domestic product (GDP) per capita or less is considered to be reasonable expenditure, representing the likelihood of achieving at least 1 additional year of healthy life per capita.

Although an FLS extension would result in a net increase in healthcare costs, with the French GDP estimated to be €44,930, FLSs still offer clear cost-effectiveness, as well as the possibility of improved care for the French population.
A ROADMAP TO SOLVE THE FRAGILITY FRACTURE CRISIS IN FRANCE

As part of a multidisciplinary consultation across France, the Estates General for osteoporosis (États Généraux de l’Ostéoporose) established seven key priorities to enable better management of fragility fractures in 2017. A year on, the Estates General’s recommendations remain more relevant than ever. In fact, the Government’s Health System Transformation Strategy, released in February 2018, confirms the importance of “putting quality and relevance of care at the heart of organizations and practices” and measuring the quality of care pathways. In order to contribute to this government’s priority workstream, it is important to reiterate the proposals of the Estates General for osteoporosis to improve the care of the many patients who have experienced at least one fragility fracture.

1. Change the paradigm from osteoporosis to fracture: Awareness campaigns
   • Promote information campaigns targeted at patients at risk of fracture with regard to:
     - First fracture management: “the first fracture must be the last”; “The complications of osteoporosis are serious, crippling, and deadly”; “Lose more than 4 cm in size or have a fracture after a simple fall from standing height: it is not normal! It is because your bones have become too fragile! Take action!”
     - Misconceptions: “osteoporosis is not a real disease”; “the hormonal treatments of the menopause are dangerous”; “osteoporosis treatments are not effective”; “calcium and vitamin D are enough”; “losing height is normal”
   • Promote the training of local healthcare professionals: train GPs, pharmacists, and dentists
   • Make World Osteoporosis Day a popular day, with free BMD tests for all women over 65 (or all postmenopausal women)

2. Develop primary prevention strategies for fragility fractures
   • Screen for walking abnormalities from a young age
   • Carry out prevention campaigns at school: how to build strong bones (bone capital); why it is important to take in 1 g of calcium per day (one diary product), to do physical activity, to get sufficient levels of protein
   • Conduct annual, systematic height measurements (at least annually) by a GP or pharmacist and during thermal treatments
   • Reimburse bone densitometry testing for women over 65 in order to identify patients at risk of fracture
   • Incorporate osteoporosis screening into established health checks (e.g. retirement check)

3. Develop secondary prevention strategies so that the first fracture is the last
   • In accordance with osteoporosis management recommendations from the French National Authority for Health (HAS) and GRIO:
     - Secure equal access to proper care pathways for patients having experienced a first fragility fracture
     - Upgrade the post-fracture care pathway, especially for vertebral fractures, wrist fractures, and hip fractures, building on the existing Filières Fracture. Such pathways should involve the relevant healthcare professionals and rely on a care coordinator (e.g. nurse)
     - Adapt the remuneration model for these post-fracture care pathways
     - Put in place incentives linked to the detection of osteoporosis and fragility fractures in emergency and orthopedic surgery services
     - Enable osteoporosis detection and management in cases where patients have been hospitalized (usually following a surgery)
     - Improve the management of chronic pain linked to fragility fractures (in particular vertebral fractures)

4. Promote incentive measures for GPs in the management of osteoporosis
   • Systematically screen height loss at least once a year
   • Systematically screen patients at risk of fall for osteoporosis (having fallen in the last year, antecedent of fall in the last year)
   • Systematically perform osteoporosis screening for patients suffering from chronic diseases (e.g. diabetes, chronic respiratory diseases, hyperthyroidism, and Parkinson’s disease)
   • Incorporate osteoporosis into GPs’ business software
   • Create a tool to support GPs with decision making around treatment options
   • Offer a specific remuneration model for osteoporosis-focused consultations (key explanations on the disease, its risks and its management), in alignment with the ‘Rémunération sur Objectifs de Santé Publique’ program
5. Promote and support a public–private medico–economic research plan to address the costs of the ‘fracture cascade’ and the benefits of innovative multidisciplinary care pathways

- Leverage the Système National d’Information inter-régimes de l’Assurance Maladie (SNIIRAM) data (i.e. national electronic healthcare records) to measure the level of expenses associated with initial fragility fractures and in the 12 and 24 months following its occurrence.
- Compare the expenses reimbursed by the health insurance in the 12 months preceding the fracture with those in the 12 months following the occurrence of the fracture.
- Based on these findings and demographic projections, calculate the cost of osteoporosis and fragility fractures in France for 2020–2025.
- Demonstrate that the increasing costs could be countered by the implementation of innovative organizational set-ups, such as coordinated care models, FLSs, and specific medications for osteoporosis (factoring in issues of medication compliance).

6. Promote fall prevention and support the independent living of patients at risk of fragility fracture

- Engage local communities in the detection and prevention of falls risk factors, either related to individuals’ health condition (e.g. vision, walking disorders) or environmental factors (e.g. home improvement).
- Set up programs adapted to seniors’ physical capacities, in order to support their physical activities and autonomy. Such programs should be coordinated by physiotherapists or ‘Activité Physique Adaptée’ specialists.
- Create therapeutic education programs to inform and educate patients after a fracture (e.g. on issues of compliance, adapted physical activity and prevention of falls), especially during rehabilitation/thermal treatment.
- Create a questionnaire to assess the risk of fracture in patients over 75 years old after a fracture.
- Support the autonomy of patients having experienced a MOF and their return home (home and care support, meal delivery).
- Create a ‘return to home’ step in the post-fracture hospitalization process.
- Support the two initiatives by the National Health Insurance Fund (CNAM): outpatient services (PRADO) ‘bone fragility’ and PRADO ‘post-fracture’, which promote patients’ return to home after a hip fracture. Consider extending the post-fracture PRADO to patients in geriatric wards.
- Systematically reimburse podiatrist consultations for patients who have experienced a fragility fracture, since this can help assess the risk of falling and to put in place preventive measures, as well as physiotherapist help to resume physical activity.

7. Create a fragility fracture registry, potentially starting with pilots in one or two regions.
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Our vision is a world without fragility fractures, in which healthy mobility is a reality for all.